

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/18/2000 09/664,226 Ge Li 11951-002001 4280 26161 7590 09/26/2003 FISH & RICHARDSON PC EXAMINER 225 FRANKLIN ST AKERS, GEOFFREY R BOSTON, MA 02110 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3624

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)
	Exampliner Roys, 9 Art Unit 3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	T TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date 	of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	÷
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	9/18/00
	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	30.10 0.00, 1000 0.1, 100 0.0. 1.0.
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 日 Claim(s) /- 52	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	ations will be a finished
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	have been received in Application No.! <u>** : ** : ::::::: ** </u>
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bi *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	
Attachment(s)	
1) ANOtice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/664226 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-52 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 27-52 are rejected under 35 USC 101 for failing to provide a concrete, useful and tangible output.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specificity in the independent claims is required to present the claimed unique features of the disclosure vis a vis the prior art. These claims are too broad and read on any auction service.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

•

Application/Control Number: 09/664226

Art Unit: 3624

6. Claims 1-52 are also rejected under 35 USC as unpatentable over Gallien"Optimuization

Based Auctions and Stochastic Assembly Replenishment Policies for Industrial Production"(June
2000) and further in view of Barzilai(US Pat. No: 6,012,045).

Page 3

7. As per claims 1-52 Gallien teaches an optimization based auction system(p 13-102) including market constraints and buyer constraints and seller constraints(p 32-48) and buyer behavioral models(p 55 et seq). Gallien further teaches optimality conditions for acquisition(Chapter 5) including items to be supplied. Although Gallien does not specifically teach an electronic auction, Barzilai teaches an electronic auction mechanism and also teaches setting a minimum bid price for all items(col 12 lines 1-4) in a bundling mechanism at the same time(col 11 lines 37-col 12 line 4) which reprices the items as well as adds items for auction(Fig 5) and whereby the auction terminates at a maximum price the bidder is willingto pay. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Godin in view of Barzilai to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach an electronic bid, auction and sale system utilizing optimality conditions and constraints wherein a computer system establishes an electronic display of the products and services offered for sale as well as the manufacturer's retail price, minimum opening bid price and bid cycle data which enables the customer to view the product and place a bid or a number of bids to purchase the product as enunciated by Barzilai(col 1 lines 59-67).

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

Page 4

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

9. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the primary examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the primary examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

September 7,2003

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E. PRIMARY EXAMINER